



ASSURANCE STATEMENT

SGS TAIWAN LTD.'S REPORT ON SUSTAINABILITY ACTIVITIES IN THE CORETRONIC CORPORATION'S ESG REPORT FOR 2021

NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE ASSURANCE/VERIFICATION

SGS Taiwan Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as SGS) was commissioned by CORETRONIC CORPORATION. (hereinafter referred to as Coretronic) to conduct an independent assurance of the ESG Report for 2021 (hereinafter referred to as the ESG Report). The scope of the assurance, based on the SGS Sustainability Report Assurance methodology, included the sampled text, and data in accompanying tables, contained in the report presented during verification (2022/01/05-2022/04/26). SGS reserves the right to update the assurance statement from time to time depending on the level of report content discrepancy of the published version from the agreed standards requirements.

INTENDED USERS OF THIS ASSURANCE STATEMENT

This Assurance Statement is provided with the intention of informing all Coretronic's Stakeholders.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The information in the Coretronic's ESG Report of 2021 and its presentation are the responsibility of the directors or governing body (as applicable) and the management of Coretronic. SGS has not been involved in the preparation of any of the material included in the Report

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the text, data, graphs and statements within the scope of verification with the intention to inform all Coretronic's stakeholders.

ASSURANCE STANDARDS, TYPE AND LEVEL OF ASSURANCE

The SGS ESG & Sustainability Report Assurance protocols used to conduct assurance are based upon internationally recognized assurance guidance, including the Principles contained within the Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability Reporting Standards (GRI Standards) 101: Foundation 2016 for report quality, and the guidance on levels of assurance contained within the AA1000 series of standards and guidance for Assurance Providers.

The assurance of this report has been conducted according to the following Assurance Standards:

Assurance Standard Options and Level of Assurance

A.	SGS ESG & SRA Assurance Protocols (based on GRI Principles and guidance in AA1000)
B.	AA1000ASv3 Type 2 High Level (AA1000AP Evaluation plus evaluation of Specified Performance Information)

SCOPE OF ASSURANCE AND REPORTING CRITERIA

The scope of the assurance included evaluation of quality, accuracy and reliability of specified performance information as detailed below and evaluation of adherence to the following reporting criteria:

Reporting Criteria Options

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | GRI Standards (Core) |
| 2. | AA1000 Accountability Principles (2018) |
| 3. | SASB |

- evaluation of content veracity of the sustainability performance information based on the materiality determination at a high level of scrutiny for Coretronic and moderate level of scrutiny for subsidiaries, joint ventures, and applicable aspect boundaries outside of the organization covered by this report;
- AA1000 Assurance Standard v3 Type 2 evaluation of the report content and supporting management systems against the AA1000 Accountability Principles (2018);
- evaluation of the report against the requirements of Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability Reporting Standards (100, 200, 300 and 400 series) claimed in the GRI content index as material and in accordance with; and
- evaluate of the report against the SASB Disclosures and Metrics included in the HARDWARE INDUSTRY Sustainability Accounting Standard (VERSION 2018-10) and conducted alongside an evaluation of accuracy assurance at moderate level of scrutiny.

ASSURANCE METHODOLOGY

The assurance comprised a combination of pre-assurance research, interviews with relevant employees, superintendents, Sustainability committee members and the senior management in Taiwan; documentation and record review and validation with external bodies and/or stakeholders where relevant.

LIMITATIONS AND MITIGATION

Financial data drawn directly from independently audited financial accounts and Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) have not been checked back to source as part of this assurance process.

STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE AND COMPETENCE

The SGS Group of companies is the world leader in inspection, testing and verification, operating in more than 140 countries and providing services including management systems and service certification; quality, environmental, social and ethical auditing and training; environmental, social and sustainability report assurance. SGS affirm our independence from Coretronic, being free from bias and conflicts of interest with the organisation, its subsidiaries and stakeholders.

The assurance team was assembled based on their knowledge, experience and qualifications for this assignment, and comprised auditors registered with ISO 26000, ISO 20121, ISO 50001, SA8000, RBA, QMS, EMS, SMS, GPMS, CFP, WFP, GHG Verification and GHG Validation Lead Auditors and experience on the SRA Assurance service provisions.

VERIFICATION/ ASSURANCE OPINION

On the basis of the methodology described and the verification work performed, we are satisfied that the specified performance information included in the scope of assurance is accurate, reliable, has been fairly stated and has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the reporting criteria.

We believe that the organisation has chosen an appropriate level of assurance for this stage in their reporting.

AA1000 ACCOUNTABILITY PRINCIPLES (2018) CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inclusivity

Coretronic has demonstrated a good commitment to stakeholder inclusivity and stakeholder engagement. A variety of engagement efforts such as survey and communication to employees, customers, investors, suppliers, sustainability experts, and other stakeholders are implemented to underpin the organization's understanding of stakeholder concerns. For future reporting, Coretronic could undertake to allow full and balanced involvement by stakeholders in its varied decision-making processes related to sustainability.

Materiality

Coretronic has established effective processes for determining issues that are material to the business. Formal review has identified stakeholders and those issues that are material to each group and the report addresses these at an appropriate level to reflect their importance and priority to these stakeholders.

Responsiveness

The report includes coverage given to stakeholder engagement and channels for stakeholder feedback. Coretronic has responded to its material sustainability topics, related impacts and stakeholders in a comprehensive, accurate, timely, accessible and balanced manner.

Impact

Coretronic has demonstrated a process on identifying fairly encompass a range of environmental, social and governance topics from wide range of sources, such as activities, policies, programs, decisions and products and services, as well as any related performance. Impacts related to material topics were in place at target setting with qualitative and quantitative measurements and evaluation.

GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE REPORTING STANDARDS CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The report, Coretronic's ESG Report of 2021, is adequately in line with the GRI Standards in accordance with Core Option. The material topics and their boundaries within and outside of the organization are properly defined in accordance with GRI's Reporting Principles for Defining Report Content. Disclosures of identified material topics and boundaries, and stakeholder engagement, GRI 102-40 to GRI 102-47, are correctly located in content index and report. Under the governance of senior management, Coretronic has implemented the risk management into material topics to identify direct and indirect impacts, which may be positive or negative, intended or unintended, and expected or realized. For future reporting, when assessing impacts, Coretronic should consider economic, environmental, human rights, and other societal challenges at local, regional, and global levels related to sectors and the geographic location of its activities and business relationships. By means of diversified approaches, Coretronic could control and mitigate negative impacts effectively.

SASB CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Coretronic has referenced with SASB's Standard, HARDWARE STANDARD, VERSION 2018-10 to disclose information of material topics that are vital for enterprise value creation. The reporting boundaries of the disclosed information correspond to the financial data reported in Coretronic's audited consolidated financial statements. Coretronic used SASB accounting and activity metrics to assess and manage the topic-related risks and opportunities, where relevant quantitative information was assessed for its accuracy and completeness to support the comparability of the data reported. Process to identify, assess, and manage topic-related risks and opportunities were integrated into Coretronic's overall management process. It is recommended that the methodology of evaluating and measuring disclosed sustainability performance could be more consistent with the requirements of the SASB standards.

Signed:

For and on behalf of SGS Taiwan Ltd.



David Huang
Senior Director
Taipei, Taiwan
17 May, 2022
WWW.SGS.COM



AA1000
Licensed Report
000-8/V3-RT40N